

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Crystal structure of solid molecular hydrogen under high pressures

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 10901 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/14/44/398)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.97 The article was downloaded on 18/05/2010 at 17:12

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

PII: S0953-8984(02)37866-4

# Crystal structure of solid molecular hydrogen under high pressures

## T Cui, Y Ma and G Zou

National Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130023, China

Received 10 June 2002 Published 25 October 2002 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/14/10901

#### Abstract

In an effort to achieve a comprehensive understanding of the structure of dense  $H_2$ , we have performed path-integral Monte Carlo simulations for three combinations of pressures and temperatures corresponding to three phases of solid hydrogen. Our results suggest three kinds of distribution of molecules: orientationally disordered hexagonal close packed (hcp), orientationally ordered hcp with *Pa*3-type local orientation order and orientationally ordered orthorhombic structure of *Cmca* symmetry, for the three phases.

## 1. Introduction

The phase diagram of solid hydrogen in the pressure (P) and temperature (T) plane has been studied for many years. For P up to about 200 GPa, it is well established that the solid hydrogen shows at least three relevant molecular phases (phases I–III), on the basis of static compression experiments [1–6].

- (1) Phase I, at P < 110 GPa: an orientationally disordered hexagonal close-packed (hcp) phase.
- (2) Phase II or the broken-symmetry phase, at *P* between 110 and 150 GPa: an orientationally ordered phase.
- (3) Phase III or the H-A phase: expected to be another kind of orientationally ordered phase.

Even though the structures of these phases have been extensively investigated both in experimental and theoretical studies, some detailed structural information is still in dispute. In an effort to achieve an understanding of the dense H<sub>2</sub> phases theoretically, several methods have been used for different levels of approximation to the *ab initio* Hamiltonian representing the coupled system of  $N_a$  protons and  $N_a$  electrons, including the local density approximation (LDA)—and its refinements—to the density-functional theory [7–16], *ab initio* molecular dynamics treating protons as classical particles [17–19], first-principles path-integral molecular dynamics [20] and quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulation [21–23]. In this paper, we treat the hydrogen molecules as a basic ingredient in simulations, rather than the proton–electron mixture; the system is reduced to a quantum-mechanical problem of

 $N (=2N_a)$  molecules interacting with each other through an effective intermolecular potential. The QMC calculation is implemented only for the nuclear degrees of freedom [24–30], while the electronic degrees of freedom are implicitly taken into account in the choice of the potential. We employ the finite-temperature path-integral Monte Carlo method to investigate both lattice and orientational structures in the molecular phase with zero-point motions incorporated rigorously.

## 2. Theoretical model

\* \*

**T T** 

Within the approximation that a molecule can be regarded as a basic ingredient, a quantum solid with translational and rotational degrees of freedom can be described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = I + V,$$
  

$$T = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i}^{N} \nabla_{R_i}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \sum_{i}^{N} L_i^2,$$
  

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} V(\mathbf{R}_{ij}, \Omega_i, \Omega_j),$$
  
(1)

where  $R_i$  is the centre-of-mass position vector of the *i*th molecule,  $\Omega_i$  its orientation vector,  $L_i$  its angular momentum operator and  $R_{ij} = R_i - R_j$  is the intermolecular separation vector. *M* and *I* denote the molecular mass and moment of inertia, respectively.

The intermolecular interaction potential employed here consists of an isotropic part (the Silvera and Goldman potential  $V_{SG}$  with an additional *ad hoc* correction  $V_{SR}$  proposed by Hemley *et al* [31]) and an anisotropic part (the Schaefer potential  $V_{Schaefer}$  with a scaling factor  $\chi$  proposed by Runge *et al* [25]), as follows:

$$V = V_{SG} + V_{SR} + V_{ani},$$

$$V_{SG}(R) = e^{\alpha - \beta R - \lambda R^{2}} - f(R) \left\{ \frac{C_{6}}{R^{6}} + \frac{C_{8}}{R^{8}} + \frac{C_{10}}{R^{10}} - \frac{C_{9}}{R^{9}} \right\},$$

$$f(R) = \left\{ e^{-(1.28R_{m}/R - 1)^{2}}, & \text{if } R \leq 1.28R_{m}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \\ V_{SR}(R) = \left\{ a_{1}(R - R_{C})^{2} + a_{2}(R - R_{C})^{6}, & \text{if } R \leq R_{C}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \\ V_{ani} = \chi V_{Schaefer}, \\ \chi = 0.61 + 0.31(R_{NN}/R_{NN}^{0} - 0.5) \right\}$$
(2)

where  $R_{NN}$  is the nearest-neighbour spacing, and  $R_{NN}^0 = 3.789$  Å. Additional details about the potential can be found in [29, 30]. The potential has been proved reliable for solid H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> up to 150 GPa [6]. The potential does not contain any dependence on the intramolecular bond length, so each molecule in the solid is considered as a movable, orientable rigid rotor.

The extended solid is modelled by a simulation cell of finite size, which is periodically duplicated in all three spatial dimensions to minimize surface and finite-size errors. The N molecules are initially placed according to a given crystal structure in the cell. The interactions are evaluated making use of the periodicity and the 'minimum imaging' method. A cut-off correction to the potential energy is included by assuming that beyond the cut-off length the solid is a continuous and uniform medium, with the same density as inside the cell. This allows integration over the exterior density. The cut-off length is chosen to be equal to half of the smallest cell dimension.

The initial size and geometry of the simulation cell are chosen to accommodate a particular density (N = 64) and hcp structure. A simulation cell is determined by two basis vectors  $(a_p \text{ and } b_p)$  forming a 60° angle and the third one  $(c_p)$  perpendicular to both  $a_p$  and  $b_p$  with the appropriate length ratio:

$$a_p:b_p:c_p = 1:1:\sqrt{6/3}.$$

The packing pattern is ABAB..., forming the hcp lattice structure. There are four layers in the  $c_p$ -direction and  $4 \times 4$  molecules in each layer.

In order to avoid the bias of a restrictive cell geometry with a predetermined crystal structure, the path-integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) method with a constant-pressure (NPT) ensemble is used, instead of the simpler constant-volume (NVT) ensemble where the simulation cell remains fixed. The implementation of the NPT ensemble is achieved by an extra Metropolis move of the cell size and geometry, which generates a Markov chain of states having a limiting distribution proportional to

$$\exp[-\beta PV - \beta E(s) + N \ln V]. \tag{3}$$

Here *P* is the given pressure, *V* the cell volume, E(s) is the energy  $\langle H \rangle$  of the configuration *s*, *s* represents a set of scaled coordinates. This enables us to monitor volume changes and therefore to observe any possible first-order phase transition directly. The technique is documented in [29], so we omit the details here.

#### 3. Results

The study is confined to a solid system of *para*-H<sub>2</sub>, to avoid the 'minus-sign problem' encountered in QMC studies associated with fermions. About 5000 Monte Carlo steps are used for equilibration. Statistical averages are collected from every second step after equilibrium is reached, to a total of about 10 000 data points. The partition number M = 80 is used. The lattice structure of the solid is monitored by the pair distribution function g(R):

$$g(R) = \frac{1}{4\pi R^2 \rho} \left\langle \sum_{i < j} \delta(R_{ij} - R) \right\rangle \tag{4}$$

where  $\rho$  is the density of system. This function has well-defined peaks indicating the neighbour shells.

The correlations in the molecular orientations are monitored by a two-body correlation function O(R) defined in [29]:

$$O(R) = \left\langle \frac{\sum_{i < j} P_2(\cos \theta) \delta(R_{ij} - R)}{\sum_{i < j} \delta(R_{ij} - R)} \right\rangle$$

where  $\theta$  is the polar angle between the orientation vectors of the molecules located at  $R_j$  and  $R_i$ . This function measures the two-body orientation correlation at the intermolecular distance R, normalized by the number of molecules at that distance.

Figure 1 shows our PIMC results for both O(R) and g(R) at 100 K and 30 GPa, in phase I. The fact that O(R) averages to approximately zero shows that the molecular orientation is disordered in the solid. The lattice stays in the hcp structure, described by an g(R) curve. This result is consistent with the structure suggested by single-crystal x-ray diffraction data [1].

The simulation results for both O(R) and g(R) at 100 K and 120 GPa, corresponding to phase II, are shown in figure 2. At this temperature and pressure point, a well-defined structure in O(R) can be found, revealing that the molecular orientation is ordered. The ordering signature, i.e. the detailed structure of O(R) curve, is similar to that for *Pa3* [29]. But g(R)



**Figure 1.** The orientational correlation function O(R) and the pair distribution function g(R) at 100 K and 30 GPa.



**Figure 2.** The orientational correlation function O(R) and the pair distribution function g(R) at 100 K and 120 GPa.

retains the characteristics of hcp structure. Snapshots of the average equilibrium distribution of molecules in real space (not shown here) confirm the lattice information. Therefore, it is concluded that phase II is an orientationally ordered hcp phase with Pa3-type local orientation order.

Figure 3 gives O(R) and g(R) at 100 K and 180 GPa corresponding to phase III. The detailed structure of O(R) also shows that the phase is orientationally ordered. But the g(R) gives different information from the hcp one, suggesting that phase III has different lattice structure to phase II. So, phase III is another kind of orientationally ordered phase with different lattice structure.



**Figure 3.** The orientational correlation function O(R) and the pair distribution function g(R) at 100 K and 180 GPa.



**Figure 4.** Snapshots of the equilibrium distributions of molecules at 100 K and 180 GPa in real space projected on (a) the XZ-plane and (b) the YZ-plane. Fluctuations are also shown here. In (b) filled and open symbols show the molecules for layer A and layer B, respectively.

To help one to understand the structure of phase III more clearly, the equilibrium distributions of 64 (= $4 \times 4 \times 4$ ) molecules in real space projected on *XZ*- and *YZ*-planes are shown in figure 4. Figure 4(a) tells us that the structure is still layered, and figure 4(b) shows that each molecule occupies the lattice site of an orthorhombic *Cmca* structure. So our result suggests that phase III is an orientationally ordered phase based on *Cmca* symmetry, which is similar to that suggested by Kitamura *et al* [20].

In summary, from a model treating a hydrogen molecule as a movable, orientable rigid rotor, three kinds of structure corresponding to the three phases of solid hydrogen have been found systematically. Further work is needed to explore in more depth the reasons for the stabilities and properties of the three phases.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Chinese National Foundation for Doctoral Education, No 98018309.

#### References

- [1] Mao H K and Hemley R 1994 Rev. Mod. Phys. 66 671
- [2] Cui L, Chen N H and Silvera I F 1995 Phys. Rev. B 51 14987
- [3] Goncharov A F, Mazin I I, Eggert J H, Hemley R J and Mao H K 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 2514
- [4] Hemley R J, Mao H K, Goncharov A F, Hanfland M and Struzhkin V 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 1667
- [5] Chen N H, Sterer E and Silvera I 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 1663
- [6] Mazin I I, Hemley R J, Goncharov A F, Hanfland M and Mao H K 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 1066
- [7] Friedli C and Ashcroft N W 1977 Phys. Rev. B 16 662
- [8] Barbee T W III, Garcia A, Cohen M L and Martin J M 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 1150
- [9] Kaxiras E, Broughton J and Hemley R J 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 1138
- [10] Nagara H and Nakamura T 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 2468
- [11] Surh M P, Barbee T W III and Mailhiot C 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 4090
- [12] Edwards B, Ashcroft N W and Lenosky T 1996 Europhys. Lett. 34 519
- [13] Surh M P, Runge K J, Barbee T W III, Pollock E L and Mailhiot C 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 11 330
- [14] Nagao K and Nagara H 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 548
- [15] Johnson K A and Ashcroft N W 2000 Nature 403 632
- [16] Städele M and Martin R M 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 6070
- [17] Kohanoff J and Hansen J-P 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 626
- [18] Kohanoff J, Scandolo S, Chiarotti G L and Tosatti E 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 2783
- [19] Kohanoff J, Scandolo S, de Gironcoli S and Tosatti E 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 4097
- [20] Kitamura H, Tsuneyuki S, Ogitus T and Miyake T 2000 Nature 404 259
- [21] Ceperley D M and Alder B J 1987 Phys. Rev. B 36 2092
- [22] Natoli V, Martin R M and Ceperley D 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 1601
- [23] Magro W R, Ceperley D M, Pierleoni C and Bernu B 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 1240
- [24] Zoppi M and Neumann M 1991 Phys. Rev. B 43 10 242
- [25] Runge K J, Surh M P, Mailhiot C and Pollock E L 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 3527
- [26] Wagner M and Ceperley D M 1994 J. Low Temp. Phys. 94 161
- [27] Kaxiras E and Guo Z 1994 Phys. Rev. B 49 11 822
- [28] Zoppi M, Bafile U, Guarini E, Barocchi F, Magli R and Neumann M 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 1779
- [29] Cui T, Cheng E, Alder B J and Alder K B 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 12 253
- [30] Cui T, Takada Y, Cui Q, Ma Y and Zou G 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 024108
- [31] Hemley R J, Mao H K, Finger L W, Jephcoat A P, Hazen R M and Zha C S 1990 Phys. Rev. B 42 6458